Lecture 5: $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, part 3

Gabriel Dospinescu

CNRS, ENS Lyon

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Goal

(I) In this lecture we want to discuss a beautiful application of the theory developed so far to the spectral theory of a compact Riemann surface X of genus ≥ 2. By the uniformization theorem, any such surface is a quotient X ≃ Γ\ℋ with Γ a co-compact lattice in PSL₂(R) = SL₂(R)/{±1} having no nontrivial torsion points.

Goal

- (1) In this lecture we want to discuss a beautiful application of the theory developed so far to the spectral theory of a compact Riemann surface X of genus ≥ 2. By the uniformization theorem, any such surface is a quotient X ≃ Γ\ℋ with Γ a co-compact lattice in PSL₂(R) = SL₂(R)/{±1} having no nontrivial torsion points.
- (II) We can associate to X two collections of real numbers: one coming directly from the geometry of X, namely the set of lengths of closed geodesics on X, and the second one coming from spectral theory, namely the eigenvalues of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on X. Our goal in this lecture is to study the relation between these sets.

(I) Before doing that let's define more carefully the two sets. Each $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\}$ is hyperbolic, i.e. satisfies $|tr(\gamma)| > 2$, thus we can define

$$I(\gamma) = 2\operatorname{arccosh}(\frac{|\operatorname{tr}(\gamma)|}{2}).$$

Note that $l(\gamma)$ depends only on the conjugacy class of γ in $\mathbb{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(I) Before doing that let's define more carefully the two sets. Each $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\}$ is hyperbolic, i.e. satisfies $|tr(\gamma)| > 2$, thus we can define

$$I(\gamma) = 2\operatorname{arccosh}(\frac{|\operatorname{tr}(\gamma)|}{2}).$$

Note that $I(\gamma)$ depends only on the conjugacy class of γ in $\mathbb{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

(II) More geometrically, the action of γ on ℋ is conjugated to z → e^{l(γ)}z. There is a unique geodesic in ℋ stabilized by γ, called the axis a(γ) of γ. It is naturally oriented, by going from the unique repulsive fixed point of γ to the unique attractive fixed point (both points being on a(γ)). Then l(γ) is the length of the oriented closed geodesic π(a(γ)) on X, where π : ℋ → X is the canonical projection.

 All geodesics will be oriented from now on. It is an excellent exercise to prove that sending γ to its axis yields a bijection between nontrivial conjugacy classes in Γ and closed (oriented, always from now on) geodesics on X.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- All geodesics will be oriented from now on. It is an excellent exercise to prove that sending γ to its axis yields a bijection between nontrivial conjugacy classes in Γ and closed (oriented, always from now on) geodesics on X.
- (II) A closed geodesic on X is called primitive (or prime) if it is not the *n*th iterate (for some n ≥ 2) of another closed geodesic. Any closed geodesic is an *n*th iterate of a unique primitive closed geodesic, and this for a unique n ≥ 1.

- All geodesics will be oriented from now on. It is an excellent exercise to prove that sending γ to its axis yields a bijection between nontrivial conjugacy classes in Γ and closed (oriented, always from now on) geodesics on X.
- (II) A closed geodesic on X is called primitive (or prime) if it is not the *n*th iterate (for some n ≥ 2) of another closed geodesic. Any closed geodesic is an *n*th iterate of a unique primitive closed geodesic, and this for a unique n ≥ 1.
- (III) Let \mathscr{L}_X be the multi-set of lengths of all primitive closed geodesics on X, taken with multiplicities.

(1) The G-invariant hyperbolic measure $d\mu(z) = dxdy/y^2$ on \mathscr{H} descends to X and we can form $L^2(X) = L^2(X, d\mu(x))$, with

$$\langle f,g\rangle = \int_X f(x)\overline{g(x)}d\mu(x).$$

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

(1) The G-invariant hyperbolic measure $d\mu(z) = dxdy/y^2$ on \mathscr{H} descends to X and we can form $L^2(X) = L^2(X, d\mu(x))$, with

$$\langle f,g\rangle = \int_X f(x)\overline{g(x)}d\mu(x).$$

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

(1) The G-invariant hyperbolic measure $d\mu(z) = dxdy/y^2$ on \mathscr{H} descends to X and we can form $L^2(X) = L^2(X, d\mu(x))$, with

$$\langle f,g\rangle = \int_X f(x)\overline{g(x)}d\mu(x).$$

(II) The Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathscr{H})$

$$\Delta = -y^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}\right)$$

commutes with the action of G and descends therefore to an operator Δ on $C^{\infty}(X)$.

(1) We can thus see Δ as an unbounded operator on $L^2(X)$ and try to study its spectrum. One checks using Stokes' formula that $\langle \Delta f, g \rangle = \langle f, \Delta g \rangle$ for $f, g \in C^{\infty}(X)$ and that

$$\langle \Delta f, f \rangle \geq 0$$

for all $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$, with equality if and only if f is constant.

(1) We can thus see Δ as an unbounded operator on $L^2(X)$ and try to study its spectrum. One checks using Stokes' formula that $\langle \Delta f, g \rangle = \langle f, \Delta g \rangle$ for $f, g \in C^{\infty}(X)$ and that

$$\langle \Delta f, f \rangle \geq 0$$

for all $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$, with equality if and only if f is constant.

(II) In particular all eigenvalues of Δ on $C^{\infty}(X)$ are ≥ 0 and the eigenvalue 0 occurs with multiplicity 1.

(1) We can thus see Δ as an unbounded operator on $L^2(X)$ and try to study its spectrum. One checks using Stokes' formula that $\langle \Delta f, g \rangle = \langle f, \Delta g \rangle$ for $f, g \in C^{\infty}(X)$ and that

$$\langle \Delta f, f \rangle \geq 0$$

for all $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$, with equality if and only if f is constant.

- (II) In particular all eigenvalues of Δ on $C^{\infty}(X)$ are ≥ 0 and the eigenvalue 0 occurs with multiplicity 1.
- (III) We will see that L²(X) has an orthonormal basis consisting of eigenvalues of Δ and each eigenspace is finite dimensional. Let Δ(X) be the set of eigenvalues of Δ on C[∞](X), each eigenvalue occurring with a multiplicity equal to the dimension of the eigenspace.

(I) We can now state the amazing theorem we're looking for:

Theorem (Selberg's trace formula for compact hyperbolic curves) Let $g \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be an even function and let $h = \hat{g}$ be its Fourier transform, thus $h(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ixt}g(t)dt$. Then

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Delta(X)} h(\sqrt{\lambda - \frac{1}{4}}) = \frac{\operatorname{area}(X)}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty x h(x) \tanh(\pi x) dx$$
$$+ \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{l \in \mathscr{L}_X} \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{l}{\sinh \frac{nl}{2}} \hat{h}(nl),$$

all sums and integrals being absolutely convergent.

Note that the statement makes sense, i.e. it is independent of the choice of the square root of $\lambda - \frac{1}{4}$, since *h* is even.

 This theorem has many deep consequences (which are not obtained without a certain amount of work...) and refinements, which we won't have the time to discuss. But here are a few beautiful results one can get using the trace formula.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- This theorem has many deep consequences (which are not obtained without a certain amount of work...) and refinements, which we won't have the time to discuss. But here are a few beautiful results one can get using the trace formula.
- (II) First, Huber's theorem: two compact hyperbolic surfaces X, X' are isospectral (i.e. $\Delta(X) = \Delta(X')$) if and only if $\mathscr{L}_X = \mathscr{L}_{X'}$. Next, McKean's theorem: for a given X there are only finitely many X' up to isometry which are isospectral to X.

- This theorem has many deep consequences (which are not obtained without a certain amount of work...) and refinements, which we won't have the time to discuss. But here are a few beautiful results one can get using the trace formula.
- (II) First, Huber's theorem: two compact hyperbolic surfaces X, X' are isospectral (i.e. $\Delta(X) = \Delta(X')$) if and only if $\mathscr{L}_X = \mathscr{L}_{X'}$. Next, McKean's theorem: for a given X there are only finitely many X' up to isometry which are isospectral to X.
- (III) Weyl's estimate: if $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \le \lambda_2 \le ...$ is the sequence of all eigenvalues of Δ , then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\lambda_n}{n}=\frac{4\pi}{\operatorname{area}(X)}.$$

(1) Once one has the Weyl estimate we can refine the trace formula (by an approximation argument) by allowing any even holomorphic function h on the domain $|\text{Im}(z)| < \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ such that $h(z) = O((1 + |z|^2)^{-1-\varepsilon})$ (for some $\varepsilon > 0$).

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Once one has the Weyl estimate we can refine the trace formula (by an approximation argument) by allowing any even holomorphic function h on the domain |Im(z)| < ¹/₂ + ε such that h(z) = O((1 + |z|²)^{-1-ε}) (for some ε > 0).
- (II) One then obtains (with work!) the prime geodesic theorem, analog of the prime number theorem: the number of $l \in \mathscr{L}_X$ with $e^l \leq x$ is asymptotically $x/\log x$ as $x \to \infty$.

- Once one has the Weyl estimate we can refine the trace formula (by an approximation argument) by allowing any even holomorphic function h on the domain |Im(z)| < ¹/₂ + ε such that h(z) = O((1 + |z|²)^{-1-ε}) (for some ε > 0).
- (II) One then obtains (with work!) the prime geodesic theorem, analog of the prime number theorem: the number of $l \in \mathscr{L}_X$ with $e^l \leq x$ is asymptotically $x/\log x$ as $x \to \infty$.
- (III) Finally, the Selberg zeta function

$$Z_X(s) = \prod_{l \in \mathscr{L}_X} \prod_{n \ge 0} (1 - e^{-l(s+n)}),$$

a priori convergent for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$, extends to a holomorphic function on \mathbb{C} satisfying a functional equation $Z_X(s) = G(s)Z_X(1-s)$ for an explicit, but rather complicated function G.

 To prove the trace formula, we will reformulate the problem in terms of representation theory and use a very general Selberg trace formula for compact quotients, coupled with a fine study of the Casimir operator and of the spherical Hecke algebra of *G*.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

- To prove the trace formula, we will reformulate the problem in terms of representation theory and use a very general Selberg trace formula for compact quotients, coupled with a fine study of the Casimir operator and of the spherical Hecke algebra of *G*.
- (II) To work with our usual $G = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ we pull back our $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ to G and still denote Γ the resulting subgroup of G.

- To prove the trace formula, we will reformulate the problem in terms of representation theory and use a very general Selberg trace formula for compact quotients, coupled with a fine study of the Casimir operator and of the spherical Hecke algebra of *G*.
- (II) To work with our usual $G = SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ we pull back our $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ to G and still denote Γ the resulting subgroup of G.
- (III) A first key observation is that we can identify (since K is compact)

$$L^2(X)\simeq L^2(\Gamma\backslash G)^K.$$

Thus our problem is closed related to the study of $L^2(\Gamma \setminus G)$ and that of *K*-invariants in unitary representations of *G*.

(I) Passing to K-invariants in the GGPS decomposition

$$L^2(\Gamma \setminus G) \simeq \widehat{\bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}}} \pi^{\oplus m(\pi)}$$

and letting

$$\hat{G}^{\mathrm{sph}} = \{\pi \in \hat{G} | \, \pi^{K} \neq 0\}$$

yields

$$L^2(X)\simeq \widehat{\bigoplus_{\pi\in \hat{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathrm{sph}}}}(\pi^K)^{\oplus m(\pi)}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

(I) Passing to K-invariants in the GGPS decomposition

$$L^2(\Gamma \setminus G) \simeq \widehat{\bigoplus_{\pi \in \hat{G}}} \pi^{\oplus m(\pi)}$$

and letting

$$\hat{G}^{\mathrm{sph}} = \{\pi \in \hat{G} | \, \pi^{\mathcal{K}} \neq \mathsf{0} \}$$

yields

$$L^2(X)\simeq \widehat{\bigoplus_{\pi\in \hat{G}^{\mathrm{sph}}}}(\pi^K)^{\oplus m(\pi)}.$$

(II) The classification theorem describes Ĝ^{sph} completely: it consists of the unitary principal series attached to characters a → |a|^s with s ∈ iℝ₊, and of the complementary series of parameter s ∈ (0, 1). Call these representations simply π_s with s ∈ iℝ₊ ∪ (0, 1).

(1) A second key observation (which is not really an observation, but rather a brutal computation that I will skip) is that the Casimir operator $\mathscr{C} \in Z(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ acting on $C^{\infty}(G)$ descends (by invariance) to an operator on $C^{\infty}(\mathscr{H}) \simeq C^{\infty}(G)^{K}$ and this is precisely 2Δ :

$$\mathscr{C}(f) = 2\Delta(f), f \in C^{\infty}(G)^{K} \simeq C^{\infty}(\mathscr{H}).$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(1) A second key observation (which is not really an observation, but rather a brutal computation that I will skip) is that the Casimir operator $\mathscr{C} \in Z(U(\mathfrak{g}))$ acting on $C^{\infty}(G)$ descends (by invariance) to an operator on $C^{\infty}(\mathscr{H}) \simeq C^{\infty}(G)^{K}$ and this is precisely 2Δ :

$$\mathscr{C}(f) = 2\Delta(f), \ f \in C^{\infty}(G)^{K} \simeq C^{\infty}(\mathscr{H}).$$

(II) It turns out that \mathscr{C} acts on the smooth vectors π^{∞} of each $\pi \in \hat{G}$ by a scalar. For instance, \mathscr{C} acts by $\frac{1-s^2}{2}$ on π_s^{∞} , as one can easily check by hand. In particular the eigenvalue of \mathscr{C} determines $s \in i\mathbb{R}_+ \cup (0, 1)$ uniquely.

A second key observation (which is not really an observation, but rather a brutal computation that I will skip) is that the Casimir operator *C* ∈ *Z*(*U*(g)) acting on *C*[∞](*G*) descends (by invariance) to an operator on *C*[∞](*H*) ≃ *C*[∞](*G*)^K and this is precisely 2Δ:

$$\mathscr{C}(f) = 2\Delta(f), \ f \in C^{\infty}(G)^{K} \simeq C^{\infty}(\mathscr{H}).$$

- (II) It turns out that \mathscr{C} acts on the smooth vectors π^{∞} of each $\pi \in \hat{G}$ by a scalar. For instance, \mathscr{C} acts by $\frac{1-s^2}{2}$ on π_s^{∞} , as one can easily check by hand. In particular the eigenvalue of \mathscr{C} determines $s \in i\mathbb{R}_+ \cup (0, 1)$ uniquely.
- (III) Another key fact, which we will prove soon is that $\dim \pi^{K} = 1$ for $\pi \in \hat{G}^{sph}$, and each $v \in \pi^{K}$ is smooth and an eigenvector of \mathscr{C} .

(I) Combining the previous observations gives

Theorem $L^2(X)$ has an ON-basis consisting of smooth functions that are eigenvectors of \mathscr{C} and thus of Δ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

(I) We want to express $m(\pi_s)$ in terms of the eigenvalue $\frac{1-s^2}{4}$ only. For this consider the space

$$M_s = \{f \in C^\infty(X) | \Delta f = \frac{1-s^2}{4}f\}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Functions in M_s are called **Maass forms**.

(I) We want to express $m(\pi_s)$ in terms of the eigenvalue $\frac{1-s^2}{4}$ only. For this consider the space

$$M_s = \{f \in C^\infty(X) | \Delta f = \frac{1-s^2}{4}f\}.$$

Functions in M_s are called **Maass forms**. (II) We then have

Theorem We have dim $M_s = m(\pi_s)$, in particular M_s is finite dimensional.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(I) We want to express $m(\pi_s)$ in terms of the eigenvalue $\frac{1-s^2}{4}$ only. For this consider the space

$$M_{s}=\{f\in C^{\infty}(X)|\,\Delta f=\frac{1-s^{2}}{4}f\}.$$

Functions in M_s are called **Maass forms**. (II) We then have

Theorem We have dim $M_s = m(\pi_s)$, in particular M_s is finite dimensional.

(III) This follows immediately from the decomposition

$$L^2(X) \simeq \bigoplus_{s \in I\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup (0,1)} (\mathbb{C}f_s)^{\oplus m(\pi_s)},$$

induced by the GGPS decomposition, passage to K-invariants and the previous results.

Gelfand pairs

 The study of K-invariants in irreducible unitary representations of G will be crucial, so we spend some time developing the basic formalism in great generality.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Gelfand pairs

- The study of K-invariants in irreducible unitary representations of G will be crucial, so we spend some time developing the basic formalism in great generality.
- (II) Let G be a locally compact unimodular group and let K be a compact subgroup. We let dk be the unique probability Haar measure on G and dg a Haar measure on G. Let $C_c(G//K)$ be the space of continuous compactly supported functions on G which are bi-K-invariant, i.e. $f(k_1gk_2) = f(g)$ for $g \in G$, $k_1, k_2 \in K$.

Gelfand pairs

- The study of K-invariants in irreducible unitary representations of G will be crucial, so we spend some time developing the basic formalism in great generality.
- (II) Let G be a locally compact unimodular group and let K be a compact subgroup. We let dk be the unique probability Haar measure on G and dg a Haar measure on G. Let C_c(G//K) be the space of continuous compactly supported functions on G which are bi-K-invariant, i.e. f(k₁gk₂) = f(g) for g ∈ G, k₁, k₂ ∈ K.
- (III) We can construct elements of $C_c(G//K)$ by starting with an arbitrary $f \in C_c(G)$ and considering

$$f_{\mathcal{K}}(x) = \int_{\mathcal{K}^2} f(k_1 x k_2) dk_1 dk_2.$$
Define L¹(G//K) and C[∞]_c(G//K) (if G is a Lie group) in the obvious way. One easily checks that C_c(G//K) and L¹(G//K) are algebras for the convolution product and C_c(G//K) is dense in L¹(G//K).

- Define L¹(G//K) and C[∞]_c(G//K) (if G is a Lie group) in the obvious way. One easily checks that C_c(G//K) and L¹(G//K) are algebras for the convolution product and C_c(G//K) is dense in L¹(G//K).
- (II) If $V \in \text{Rep}(G)$, then for all $v \in V$ and $f \in C_c(G//K)$ we have $f.v \in V^K$, since

$$k.(f.v) = \int_{G} f(g)kg.vdg = \int_{G} f(kg)kg.vdg = \int_{G} f(g)g.vdg = f.v.$$

In particular V^{K} becomes a module over $C_{c}(G//K)$.

 We say that (G, K) is a Gelfand pair if C_c(G//K) is commutative. This is equivalent to saying that L¹(G//K) is commutative. A key source of Gelfand pairs comes from the following beautiful and easy result.

Theorem (Gelfand's trick) Suppose that there is an automorphism $\iota : G \to G$ with $\iota \circ \iota = id$ and $\iota(x) \in Kx^{-1}K$ for $x \in G$. Then (G, K) is a Gelfand pair.

 For instance if G = SL₂(ℝ) and K = SO₂(ℝ) we can take *ι*(x) the inverse of the transpose of x. The condition comes down to x^T ∈ KxK for all x ∈ G. This follows from the Cartan decomposition G = KAK (exercise), which reduces everything to the case x ∈ A, but then x = x^T and we are done. This kind of argument generalizes to real reductive groups and their maximal compact subgroups.

- For instance if G = SL₂(ℝ) and K = SO₂(ℝ) we can take ι(x) the inverse of the transpose of x. The condition comes down to x^T ∈ KxK for all x ∈ G. This follows from the Cartan decomposition G = KAK (exercise), which reduces everything to the case x ∈ A, but then x = x^T and we are done. This kind of argument generalizes to real reductive groups and their maximal compact subgroups.
- (II) The proof of the theorem is simple and beautiful. If $f \in C_c(G)$ let $\overline{f}(x) = f(\iota(x))$ and $\widetilde{f}(x) = f(x^{-1})$. The hypothesis implies that $\overline{f} = \widetilde{f}$ for $f \in C_c(G//K)$. On the other hand, the uniqueness (up to scalar) of the Haar measure gives the existence of a constant c > 0 such that $\int_G \overline{f}(x) dx = c \int_G f(x) dx$ for all $f \in C_c(G)$. Since $\iota^2 = 1$, we have $c^2 = 1$, thus c = 1. This easily implies that $\overline{f} * \overline{g} = \overline{f} * g$. On the other hand, the unimodularity of G yields $g * f = \widetilde{f} * \widetilde{g}$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(1) Since $\overline{f} = \widetilde{f}$ for $f \in C_c(G//K)$, we conclude that for all $f, g \in C_c(G//K)$ $\overline{f * g} = \overline{f} * \overline{g} = \widetilde{f} * \widetilde{g} = g * \overline{f} = \overline{g * f}$,

thus f * g = g * f and the theorem is proved.

(I) Since $\overline{f} = \widetilde{f}$ for $f \in C_c(G//K)$, we conclude that for all $f, g \in C_c(G//K)$ $\overline{f * g} = \overline{f} * \overline{g} = \widetilde{f} * \widetilde{g} = g * f = \overline{g * f}$,

thus f * g = g * f and the theorem is proved.

(II) For us the most important application of Gelfand pairs is the following beautiful result (whose converse also holds, but is quite a bit more delicate, using the Gelfand-Raikov theorem which we haven't discussed). Let

$$G^{\rm sph} = \{\pi \in \hat{G} \mid \pi^{K} \neq 0\}.$$

Theorem If (G, K) is a Gelfand pair and $V \in G^{sph}$, then dim $V^{K} = 1$ and there is a morphism of algebras $\chi_{\pi} : C_{c}(G//K) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $f.v = \chi_{\pi}(f)v$ for $v \in V^{K}$ and $f \in C_{c}(G//K)$.

(1) Of course, it suffices to prove that dim $V^{K} = 1$. We claim that V^{K} is irreducible under $C_{c}(G//K)$ when $V \in \hat{G}$, i.e. for any $v \in V^{K} \setminus \{0\}$ the closure of $C_{c}(G//K).v$ is V^{K} . Pick any $w \in V^{K}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By irreducibility of V, $C_{c}(G).v$ is dense in V, thus we can find $f \in C_{c}(G)$ with $||f.v - w|| < \varepsilon$.

(1) Of course, it suffices to prove that dim $V^{K} = 1$. We claim that V^{K} is irreducible under $C_{c}(G//K)$ when $V \in \hat{G}$, i.e. for any $v \in V^{K} \setminus \{0\}$ the closure of $C_{c}(G//K).v$ is V^{K} . Pick any $w \in V^{K}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By irreducibility of V, $C_{c}(G).v$ is dense in V, thus we can find $f \in C_{c}(G)$ with $||f.v - w|| < \varepsilon$.

(1) Of course, it suffices to prove that dim $V^{K} = 1$. We claim that V^{K} is irreducible under $C_{c}(G//K)$ when $V \in \hat{G}$, i.e. for any $v \in V^{K} \setminus \{0\}$ the closure of $C_{c}(G//K).v$ is V^{K} . Pick any $w \in V^{K}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By irreducibility of V, $C_{c}(G).v$ is dense in V, thus we can find $f \in C_{c}(G)$ with $||f.v - w|| < \varepsilon$.

(II) Since v is K-invariant, a simple calculation yields

$$f_{K}.v = \int_{G} \int_{K^{2}} f(k_{1}xk_{2})x.vdx =$$
$$\int_{K} \int_{G} f(k_{1}x)x.vdxdk_{1} = \int_{K} k.(f.v)dk.$$

(1) Since $v \to \int_K k.vdk$ is the orthogonal projection of V onto V^K (lecture 2), we deduce that

$$||f_{\mathcal{K}}.v-w|| \leq ||f.v-w|| \leq \varepsilon$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

and since $f_{\mathcal{K}} \in C_c(G//\mathcal{K})$, the claim is proved.

(I) Since $v \to \int_{K} k.vdk$ is the orthogonal projection of V onto V^{K} (lecture 2), we deduce that

$$||f_{\mathcal{K}}.v - w|| \leq ||f.v - w|| \leq \varepsilon$$

and since $f_K \in C_c(G//K)$, the claim is proved.

(II) Now since by assumption $L^1(G//K)$ is a commutative Banach algebra with a natural involution $f \to (g \to \overline{f(g^{-1})})$, an argument as in the proof of Schur's lemma (lecture 2) shows that the only irreducible unitary reps. of $L^1(G/K)$ are 1-dimensional, thus dim $V^K \leq 1$ and we are done.

- Suppose now that G is a real Lie group and (G, K) is a Gelfand pair. If π ∈ Ĝ, consider the restriction *χ*_π : Sph → C of *χ*_π : C_c(G//K) → C to the spherical Hecke algebra Sph = C[∞]_c(G//K).
- (II) It is important to interpret $\chi_{\pi}(f)$ as a trace. Namely, the operator $T_f : \pi \to \pi, v \to f.v$ has image inside π^K , thus it is trivially of trace class and $tr(T_f) = \chi_{\pi}(f)$.

(1) Let's come back to earth and get our hands dirty with $G = \mathbb{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Keep the usual notations A, N, K, etc. We want to make χ_{π} as explicit as possible for $\pi \in \hat{G}$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- (1) Let's come back to earth and get our hands dirty with $G = \mathbb{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Keep the usual notations A, N, K, etc. We want to make χ_{π} as explicit as possible for $\pi \in \hat{G}$.
- (II) Recall that π_s is realised as a space of functions on G, and elements of π_s^K correspond to certain functions on $G/K \simeq \mathscr{H}$. The explicit description of $\pi_s|_K$ (lecture 1) then shows that

$$\pi_{\boldsymbol{s}}^{\boldsymbol{K}} = \mathbb{C}f_{\boldsymbol{s}},$$

where the spherical vector f_s is the function on \mathscr{H}

$$f_s(z) = \operatorname{Im}(z)^{\frac{1+s}{2}}.$$

(1) Taking $f \in \text{Sph}$ and evaluating at *i* the identity $f.f_s = \chi_{\pi_s}(f)f_s$, we obtain

$$\chi_{\pi_s}(f) = \int_G f(g) f_s(g.i) dg.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

(1) Taking $f \in \text{Sph}$ and evaluating at *i* the identity $f.f_s = \chi_{\pi_s}(f)f_s$, we obtain

$$\chi_{\pi_s}(f) = \int_G f(g) f_s(g.i) dg.$$

(II) The Haar measure decomposes with respect to the Iwasawa decomposition G = ANK

$$\int_{G} F(g) dg = \int_{K} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(\begin{pmatrix} e^{u/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-u/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} k) dk du dx.$$

(I) If F is right K-invariant, this simplifies to

$$\int_{G} F(g) dg = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(\begin{pmatrix} e^{u/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-u/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}) du dx.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

(1) If F is right K-invariant, this simplifies to

$$\int_{G} F(g) dg = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(\begin{pmatrix} e^{u/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-u/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}) du dx.$$

(II) We conclude that

$$\chi_{\pi_s}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f\left(\begin{pmatrix} e^{u/2} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-u/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) e^{u\frac{1+s}{2}} du dx.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

(I) Introducing the Harish-Chandra transform of f

$$HC(f)(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\left(\begin{pmatrix} e^{u/2} & x\\ 0 & e^{-u/2} \end{pmatrix}\right) dx$$
$$= e^{u/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\left(\begin{pmatrix} e^{u/2} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-u/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) dx$$

and the Fourier transform $\hat{g}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) e^{iux} dx$, we can rewrite

$$\chi_{\pi_s}(f) = \widehat{HC(f)}(\frac{s}{2i}).$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(I) W can describe very nicely Sph thanks to:

Theorem (Harish-Chandra) The map $f \rightarrow HC(f)$ is an isomorphism (of vector spaces)

$$\mathrm{Sph} \simeq C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R})^{\mathrm{even}} := \{ f \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}) | f(x) = f(-x). \}$$

Moreover we have the "Fourier inversion" formula

$$f(1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty r \widehat{HC(f)}(r) \tanh(\pi r) dr.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(1) Any $f \in \text{Sph}$ is determined by its restriction to A, since G = KAK. Moreover if $f(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}) = u(a)$, then $u(a) = u(a^{-1})$ since f is bi-K-invariant and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$$

(1) Any $f \in Sph$ is determined by its restriction to A, since G = KAK. Moreover if $f(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}) = u(a)$, then $u(a) = u(a^{-1})$ since f is bi-K-invariant and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$$

(II) Now a funny real analysis exercise shows that there is $F \in C^{\infty}_{c}([1,\infty))$ such that

$$f\begin{pmatrix} a & 0\\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = F(\frac{a^2 + a^{-2}}{2}).$$

(I) It follows from here that

$$f(g) = F(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(gg^T)}{2})$$

for all $g \in G$: both terms are in Sph and they have the same restriction to A.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

(I) It follows from here that

$$f(g) = F(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(gg^T)}{2})$$

for all $g \in G$: both terms are in Sph and they have the same restriction to A.

(II) We deduce that $F \to f_F = (g \to F(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(gg^T)}{2}))$ gives an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty_c([1,\infty))\simeq \mathrm{Sph}$$

and

$$HC(f_F)(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(\cosh(u) + \frac{x^2}{2}) dx.$$

It is therefore clear that $HC(f) \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})^{\text{even}}$.

(I) In order to prove the first part, it suffices to prove that the **Abel transform**

$$A(F)(a) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(a + \frac{x^2}{2}) dx$$

gives an isomorphism

$$C_c^{\infty}([1,\infty)) \simeq C_c^{\infty}([1,\infty)).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

 In order to prove the first part, it suffices to prove that the Abel transform

$$A(F)(a) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(a + \frac{x^2}{2}) dx$$

gives an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty_c([1,\infty))\simeq \mathcal{C}^\infty_c([1,\infty)).$$

(II) For this it suffices (exercise: why?) to check that

$$F(a) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A(F)'(a + \frac{x^2}{2}) dx.$$

Indeed, we have (polar coordinates!)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} A(F)'(a + \frac{x^2}{2}) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F'(a + \frac{x^2 + y^2}{2}) dx dy =$$
$$= 2\pi \int_{0}^{\infty} F'(a + \frac{r^2}{2}) r dr = 2\pi \int_{a}^{\infty} F'(x) dx = -2\pi F(a).$$

We conclude the proof using that even C[∞]_c functions on ℝ are related to C[∞]_c functions on [1,∞) by g(x) = F(cosh(x)) (exercise). This finishes the first part.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

We conclude the proof using that even C[∞]_c functions on ℝ are related to C[∞]_c functions on [1,∞) by g(x) = F(cosh(x)) (exercise). This finishes the first part.

(II) For the Fourier inversion formula let g = HC(f) and $f(g) = F(\frac{\operatorname{tr}(gg^T)}{2})$, so that $g(u) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} F(\cosh u + \frac{x^2}{2}) dx = A(F)(\cosh u).$ It follows that (make $x = e^{t/2} - e^{-t/2}$) $f(1) = F(1) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} A(F)'(1 + \frac{x^2}{2}) dx =$ $=-rac{1}{2\pi}\int_{T}A(F)'(\cosh t)\cosh(t/2)dt=$ $-\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{T}} g'(t) \frac{\cosh(t/2)}{\sinh t} dt = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{g'(t)}{e^{t/2} - e^{-t/2}} dt.$

(I) Since g is even, Fourier inversion gives

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \hat{g}(u) e^{-iut} du, \ g'(x) = -\frac{i}{\pi} \int_0^\infty u \hat{g}(u) e^{-iut} du$$

and

$$f(1) = rac{i}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty u \hat{g}(u) \int_{\mathbb{R}} rac{e^{-iut}}{e^{t/2} - e^{-t/2}} dt.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

(I) Since g is even, Fourier inversion gives

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \hat{g}(u) e^{-iut} du, \ g'(x) = -\frac{i}{\pi} \int_0^\infty u \hat{g}(u) e^{-iut} du$$

and

$$f(1) = rac{i}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty u \hat{g}(u) \int_{\mathbb{R}} rac{e^{-iut}}{e^{t/2} - e^{-t/2}} dt.$$

(II) Thus we are done if we prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}rac{\mathrm{e}^{-iut}}{\mathrm{e}^{t/2}-\mathrm{e}^{-t/2}}dt=-i\pi anh(\pi u),\ u>0.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

(I) This can be proved using the residue formula, but we can also argue via Poisson summation:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{-iut}}{e^{t/2} - e^{-t/2}} dt = -2i \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(ut)}{e^{t/2}(1 - e^{-t})} dt =$$
$$-2i \sum_{n \ge 0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Im}(e^{iut}) e^{-(n+1/2)t} dt$$
$$= -2i \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{u}{u^{2} + (n+1/2)^{2}} = -i \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{u}{u^{2} + (n+1/2)^{2}}.$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

(I) This can be proved using the residue formula, but we can also argue via Poisson summation:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{-iut}}{e^{t/2} - e^{-t/2}} dt = -2i \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(ut)}{e^{t/2}(1 - e^{-t})} dt =$$
$$-2i \sum_{n \ge 0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Im}(e^{iut}) e^{-(n+1/2)t} dt$$
$$= -2i \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{u}{u^{2} + (n+1/2)^{2}} = -i \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{u}{u^{2} + (n+1/2)^{2}}.$$

(II) Now observe that $\hat{f}_a(x) = \frac{2a}{a^2 + x^2}$ where $f_a(x) = e^{-a|x|}$ and apply Poisson summation to obtain

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\frac{u}{u^2+(n+1/2)^2}=\pi\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}e^{-2\pi u|n|}e^{i\pi n}=\pi\tanh(\pi u).$$

Trace formula for compact quotients

 (I) Let G be a unimodular real Lie group and let Γ be a discrete co-compact subgroup of G. Fix a Haar measure dg on G. We have already seen that we can decompose

$$L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \simeq \widehat{\bigoplus_{\pi \in \widehat{G}}} \pi^{\oplus m(\pi)}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

with $m(\pi) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Trace formula for compact quotients

 (I) Let G be a unimodular real Lie group and let Γ be a discrete co-compact subgroup of G. Fix a Haar measure dg on G. We have already seen that we can decompose

$$\mathcal{L}^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \simeq \widehat{\bigoplus_{\pi \in \widehat{G}}} \pi^{\oplus m(\pi)}$$

with $m(\pi) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

(II) Moreover, we saw that each f ∈ C_c[∞](G) defines an operator T_f = f * φ on L²(Γ\G), which is Hilbert-Schmidt and even (thanks to the Dixmier-Malliavin theorem) of trace class. Our goal will be to compute this trace in two different ways: in representation-theoretic terms using the previous decomposition, and "geometrically", using orbital integrals on G.
(1) The representation-theoretic computation is "trivial": each $\pi \in \hat{G}$ for which $m(\pi) > 0$ is a sub-representation of $L^2(\Gamma \setminus G)$ and T_f preserves π , thus the restriction of T_f to π is of trace class. Moreover, picking an ON-basis in each π we immediately obtain

$$\operatorname{tr}(T_f) = \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} m(\pi) \operatorname{tr} \pi(f),$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

where we write $\pi(f) = T_f | \pi$ for the restriction of T_f to π .

 The representation-theoretic computation is "trivial": each π ∈ Ĝ for which m(π) > 0 is a sub-representation of L²(Γ\G) and T_f preserves π, thus the restriction of T_f to π is of trace class. Moreover, picking an ON-basis in each π we immediately obtain

$$\operatorname{tr}(T_f) = \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} m(\pi) \operatorname{tr} \pi(f),$$

where we write $\pi(f) = T_f | \pi$ for the restriction of T_f to π .

(II) We study now the "geometric" part. Recall that

$$T_f(\varphi)(x) = \int_{\Gamma \setminus G} K_f(x,y) \varphi(y) dy$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{f}(x,y) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(x^{-1}\gamma y) \in C^{\infty}(\Gamma \setminus G \times \Gamma \setminus G).$$

(I) First, let us prove the

Theorem We have

$$\operatorname{tr}(T_f) = \int_{\Gamma \setminus G} K_f(x, x) dx.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

(I) First, let us prove the

Theorem We have

$$\operatorname{tr}(T_f) = \int_{\Gamma \setminus G} K_f(x, x) dx.$$

(II) By Dixmier-Malliavin, WLOG $f = f_1 * f_2$ with $f_1, f_2 \in C_c^{\infty}(G)$. Then $T_f = T_{f_1} T_{\underline{f_2}}$ and if e_i is an ON-basis of $L^2(\Gamma \setminus G)$ then letting $f_1^*(g) = \overline{f_1(g^{-1})}$ (so $T_{f_1}^* = T_{f_1^*}$) $\operatorname{tr}(T_f) = \sum_i \langle T_{f_1} T_{f_2} e_i, e_i \rangle = \sum_i \langle T_{f_2} e_i, T_{f_1}^* e_i \rangle$ $= \sum_{i,j} \langle T_{f_2} e_i, e_j \rangle \overline{\langle T_{f_1^*} e_i, e_j \rangle}.$

(I) On the other hand a direct calculation shows that

$$\langle T_{f_2} e_i, e_j \rangle = \int_{\Gamma \setminus G \times \Gamma \setminus G} K_{f_2}(x, y) e_i(y) \overline{e_j(x)} dx dy = \langle K_{f_2}, e_j \otimes \overline{e_i} \rangle,$$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

the latter product being in $L^2(\Gamma \setminus G \times \Gamma \setminus G)$. Similarly for $\langle T_{f_1^*} e_i, e_j \rangle$.

(I) On the other hand a direct calculation shows that

$$\langle T_{f_2} e_i, e_j \rangle = \int_{\Gamma \setminus G \times \Gamma \setminus G} K_{f_2}(x, y) e_i(y) \overline{e_j(x)} dx dy = \langle K_{f_2}, e_j \otimes \overline{e_i} \rangle,$$

the latter product being in $L^2(\Gamma \setminus G \times \Gamma \setminus G)$. Similarly for $\langle T_{f_1^*} e_i, e_j \rangle$.

(II) Since the $e_j \otimes \overline{e_i}$ form an ON-basis of $L^2(\Gamma \setminus G \times \Gamma \setminus G)$, we conclude that

$$\operatorname{tr}(T_f) = \langle K_{f_2}, K_{f_1^*} \rangle = \int_{\Gamma \setminus G \times \Gamma \setminus G} K_{f_2}(x, y) \overline{K_{f_1^*}(x, y)} dx dy.$$

Since $K_{f_1^*}(x,y) = \overline{K_{f_1}(y,x)}$, we finally obtain

$$\operatorname{tr}(T_f) = \int_{\Gamma \setminus G \times \Gamma \setminus G} K_{f_2}(x, y) K_{f_1}(y, x) dx dy.$$

(I) Now writing the equality $T_f = T_{f_1}T_{f_2}$ in terms of K_{f_1}, K_{f_2}, K_f immediately yields (equality of continuous functions...)

$$K_f(x,y) = \int_{\Gamma \setminus G} K_{f_1}(x,z) K_{f_2}(z,y) dz,$$

thus we conclude that

$$\operatorname{tr}(T_f) = \int_{\Gamma \setminus G} K_f(x, x) dx.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(I) We want to split

$$\int_{G} K_{f}(x,x) dx = \int_{G} (\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(x^{-1}\gamma x)) dx$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

according to conjugacy classes in Γ .

(I) We want to split

$$\int_{G} K_{f}(x,x) dx = \int_{G} (\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(x^{-1}\gamma x)) dx$$

according to conjugacy classes in Γ .

(II) To justify the various operations we will do, it is convenient to isolate certain topological properties that are fairly simple to prove and left to the reader. Let Γ_{γ} , resp. G_{γ} be the centralizer of γ in Γ , resp. G. Thus $\Gamma_{\gamma} = G_{\gamma} \cap \Gamma$ and so we have a natural bijection

$$\Gamma \backslash \Gamma G_{\gamma} \simeq \Gamma_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\gamma}.$$

One easily checks that ΓG_{γ} is closed in G, its image $\Gamma \setminus \Gamma G_{\gamma}$ in $\Gamma \setminus G$ is closed, thus compact, and the previous bijection is a homeomorphism. In particular Γ_{γ} is a co-compact lattice in G_{γ} and this implies that G_{γ} is unimodular.

Next, let {Γ} be a set of representatives for the Γ-conjugacy classes of elements of G. If γ ∈ Γ let cc_G(γ) = {xγx⁻¹ | x ∈ G} be the conjugacy class of γ in G.

Next, let {Γ} be a set of representatives for the Γ-conjugacy classes of elements of G. If γ ∈ Γ let cc_G(γ) = {xγx⁻¹ | x ∈ G} be the conjugacy class of γ in G.

(II) One easily checks that

$$\coprod_{\gamma \in \{\Gamma\}} (\mathsf{\Gamma}_{\gamma} \backslash \mathsf{G} \times \{\gamma\}) \to \mathsf{G}, \, (\mathsf{\Gamma}_{\gamma} x, \gamma) \to x \gamma x^{-1}$$

is a proper map (i.e. the inverse image of a compact set is compact), thus a closed map, and from here one deduces that $cc_G(\gamma)$ is closed in G and for any compact set $K \subset G$ there are only finitely many $\gamma \in \{\Gamma\}$ such that $cc_G(\gamma) \cap K \neq \emptyset$.

(I) This being said, we can safely write (recall that $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$)

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Gamma \setminus G} (\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(x^{-1} \gamma x)) dx &= \int_{\Gamma \setminus G} \sum_{\gamma \in \{\Gamma\}} \sum_{g \in \Gamma_{\gamma} \setminus \Gamma} f(x^{-1} g^{-1} \gamma g x) dx = \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \{\Gamma\}} \int_{\Gamma_{\gamma} \setminus G} f(x^{-1} \gamma x) dx. \end{split}$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

(I) This being said, we can safely write (recall that $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$)

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Gamma \setminus G} (\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(x^{-1} \gamma x)) dx &= \int_{\Gamma \setminus G} \sum_{\gamma \in \{\Gamma\}} \sum_{g \in \Gamma_{\gamma} \setminus \Gamma} f(x^{-1} g^{-1} \gamma g x) dx = \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \{\Gamma\}} \int_{\Gamma_{\gamma} \setminus G} f(x^{-1} \gamma x) dx. \end{split}$$

(II) On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Gamma_{\gamma}\setminus G} f(x^{-1}\gamma x)dx &= \int_{G_{\gamma}\setminus G} \int_{\Gamma_{\gamma}\setminus G_{\gamma}} f((gh)^{-1}\gamma gh)dgdh \\ &= \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma_{\gamma}\setminus G_{\gamma}) \int_{G_{\gamma}\setminus G} f(x^{-1}\gamma x)dx. \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

(1) In the above formula one starts by choosing a Haar measure on G_{γ} , then takes the quotient measure on $G_{\gamma} \setminus G$ and on $\Gamma_{\gamma} \setminus G_{\gamma}$ (we put the counting measure on Γ and its subgroups). Combining the two expressions for tr(T_f) yields:

Theorem (Selberg's trace formula for compact quotients) If Γ is a co-compact lattice in a real Lie group G, then for all $f \in C_c^{\infty}(G)$

$$\sum_{\pi\in \hat{G}} m(\pi, \Gamma) \mathrm{tr}(\pi(f)) = \sum_{\gamma\in\{\Gamma\}} \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma_{\gamma} \setminus G_{\gamma}) O_{\gamma}(f),$$

where

$$m(\pi,\Gamma) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\pi, L^2(\Gamma \setminus \mathcal{G}))$$

and

$$O_{\gamma}(f) = \int_{G_{\gamma}\setminus G} f(x^{-1}\gamma x) dx.$$

 (I) Let's suppose that G is abelian. By Schur's lemma, G
 consists of all unitary (continuous of course) characters
 χ : G → S¹ of G. One checks very easily that m(χ, Γ) = 1 if
 χ(Γ) = {1} and 0 otherwise. Let's compute tr(χ(f)).

(I) Let's suppose that G is abelian. By Schur's lemma, Ĝ consists of all unitary (continuous of course) characters χ : G → S¹ of G. One checks very easily that m(χ, Γ) = 1 if χ(Γ) = {1} and 0 otherwise. Let's compute tr(χ(f)).

(II) If v is a nonzero vector in the space of χ , we have

$$\chi(f).v = \int_{G} f(g)g.vdg = \int_{G} f(g)\chi(g)vdg = \hat{f}(\chi^{-1})v,$$

thus $\operatorname{tr}(\pi(\chi)) = \hat{f}(\chi^{-1})$, with

 $\widehat{f}(\chi) := \int_{\mathcal{G}} f(g) \overline{\chi(g)} dg.$

(I) Let's suppose that G is abelian. By Schur's lemma, Ĝ consists of all unitary (continuous of course) characters χ : G → S¹ of G. One checks very easily that m(χ, Γ) = 1 if χ(Γ) = {1} and 0 otherwise. Let's compute tr(χ(f)).

(II) If v is a nonzero vector in the space of χ , we have

$$\chi(f).v = \int_G f(g)g.vdg = \int_G f(g)\chi(g)vdg = \hat{f}(\chi^{-1})v,$$

thus $\operatorname{tr}(\pi(\chi)) = \widehat{f}(\chi^{-1})$, with

$$\widehat{f}(\chi) := \int_{G} f(g) \overline{\chi(g)} dg.$$

(III) On the other hand $O_{\gamma}(f) = f(\gamma)$ and so the trace formula yields a general abelian Poisson summation formula

$$\sum_{\chi \in \hat{\mathcal{G}}, \chi(\Gamma) = \{1\}} \hat{f}(\chi) = \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma \setminus \mathcal{G}) \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(\gamma).$$